## **The Scottish Surfing Federation**

# Procedure review of the management of concerns



Prepared by The Scottish Surfing Federation Caidreachas Surfadh na h-Alba April 2019





#### SSF - PROCEDURE: REVIEW OF THE MANAGEMENT OF CONCERNS

1. Establish the facts of the case, a chronology of events and the roles of individuals and organisations involved.

| Date | Concern | Who was involved |
|------|---------|------------------|
|      |         |                  |
|      |         |                  |

2. Identify any issues or key questions relating to the aims of the review.

#### 3. Identify any other relevant points or observations.

| <ul> <li>PROCEDURES</li> <li>Were the relevant procedures followed?</li> <li>If not, is there a reasonable explanation for this?</li> <li>Were the timescales appropriate?</li> <li>Do the current procedures provide adequate information about what to do in such a situation?</li> <li>If appropriate, was a referral made to Disclosure Scotland as required by the Protection of Vulnerable Groups (Scotland) Act 2007?</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>PEOPLE</li> <li>Were the right people involved?</li> <li>Were the views of the child/family obtained?</li> <li>Were those involved aware of the procedures?</li> <li>Had the people involved been trained on the procedures?</li> <li>Where appropriate, were external organisations involved; for example, the police or governing body of sport?</li> </ul> |
|---|--|
| <ul> <li>OUTCOMES</li> <li>Was the outcome appropriate in the case?</li> <li>If not, why not?</li> <li>Is there a need to take further action in this case; for example, referring the case to police/social work?</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>RECORDING</li> <li>Were records kept?</li> <li>Is the quality of the information recorded satisfactory?</li> <li>Can the forms be improved?</li> </ul>  |

- 4. Identify any lessons to be learned and what changes need to be made.
- 5. Make recommendations (changes to procedures, forms and/or the provision of training).





### **REVIEW OF THE MANAGEMENT OF CONCERNS CHART**

| Name of reviewer   |  |
|--|--|
| Case reference   | If this record is going to be shared with<br>others, the details of the case should be<br>anonymised using a unique reference<br>number or identifier  |
| Outstanding investigations and proceedings                     | If relevant to this case, have the following<br>been concluded:<br>1. Police and social work child protection<br>investigation? Y/N<br>2. A criminal investigation by the police? Y/N<br>3. Any related legal proceedings? Y/N<br>If the answer to any of these questions is no,<br>the review cannot proceed. |
| Remit of review  | List here in bullet point form the reasons<br>for the review<br>-<br>-<br>-  |
| Timescales for completion                                      | This should be the dates when the review will begin and end with the reported findings.  |
| How will the review be conducted?                              | <ul> <li>List here the methods to be used to conduct<br/>the review; for example: <ul> <li>A review of all paper records</li> <li>Telephone/face to face interviews<br/>with relevant individuals</li> <li>Contact with other organisations<br/>involved as necessary.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>                  |
| Are there any special considerations or features in this case? | For example, this case was reported in the press, the child involved has a learning disability.  |
| How will the findings and recommendations be reported?         |  |
| Who will the outcomes of the review be shared with?            | List here all internal and external parties with whom information will be shared.  |
| Is a media strategy required?                                  |  |